

Rate Schedule 1 – Allocation of NYISO Budget

Chris Russell

SENIOR MANAGER, CUSTOMER SETTLEMENTS

Management Committee

July 26, 2023

Background

- **The purpose of this presentation is to facilitate a vote by the Management Committee in Q3 2023 to determine whether a new Cost of Service Study should be conducted to evaluate the Rate Schedule 1 allocation between withdrawals and injections.**
- **For purposes of this presentation, references to allocations of Rate Schedule 1 relate solely to the ISO Annual Budget (as discussed in section 6.1.2 of the OATT).**

History of NYISO RS1 Allocations

- **Nov. 1999 – May 2002: 100% Withdrawals / 0% Injections**
- **June 2002 – Dec. 2004: 85% Withdrawals / 15% Injections**
- **Jan. 2005 – Dec. 2011: 80% Withdrawals / 20% Injections**
 - Non-Physical transactions included with rebates provided to Withdrawals and Injections, resulting in an approximate allocation of 75% Withdrawals/ 19% Injections/ 6% Non-Physical
- **Jan. 2012 – Present : 72% Withdrawals / 28% Injections**
 - With Non-physical transactions and rebates included, the approximate allocation is 68% Withdrawals/ 26% Injections/ 6% Non-Physical

Current RS1 Allocation

- **72% Withdrawals /28% Injections**
(with rebates provided for recoveries from non-physical transactions)
 - Followed consultant study in 2010-2011 and ~67% affirmative support of the Management Committee in July 2011
 - Scheduled to be effective for a minimum of five years, from January 2012 – December 2016

Tariff Requirements

- **Tariff language required a vote of the Management Committee in the third calendar quarter of 2015 on whether a new study should be conducted during late 2015 and 2016 to allow for modification of the current cost allocation.**
- **This vote was held at the August 26, 2015, Management Committee meeting and did not result in a recommendation for conducting a study of RS1 in 2015-2016. Going forward from the 2015 vote, the tariff outlines the required process for extending the current structure in future years.**

Tariff Requirements (cont.)

- **OATT Section 6.1.2.3 (ii) required that a vote of the Management Committee be taken in Q3 2016 to determine if a study should be conducted in 2016-2017.**
 - The 2016 vote required a 58% of the MC to decline conducting a study, or else the study would be required to go forward in 2016-2017.
 - If the MC voted to decline conducting a study in 2016-2017, a study would be required in the following year. A vote would be required in Q3 of each year to accept/decline conducting a study of the RS1 allocation methodology.

Tariff Requirements (cont.)

- 2017 - 2022 Vote Results – Motion to not conduct a study was approved.

Most recent RS 1 Study Information

- **Most recent RS1 Allocation study was performed by Black and Veatch in 2011.**
 - Cost = ~ \$ 215K
 - Study time= ~ 6 months
 - MP Meetings= 5 Individual Sector meetings, 6 working group meetings, and 1 MC
 - Scope= Evaluate NYISO cost for potential changes in cost recovery structure using NYISO data, staff interviews, and comparison of other ISO practices, etc.

RS1 Study Vote Process Steps

- March 26 Budget & Priorities Working Group (BPWG)
- June 13 Management Committee Discussion
- July 26 Management Committee Vote

Our Mission & Vision



Mission

Ensure power system reliability and competitive markets for New York in a clean energy future



Vision

Working together with stakeholders to build the cleanest, most reliable electric system in the nation

Appendix

- OATT Tariff Language

Tariff Requirements (cont.)

- **Operative Language from RS1 Section 6.1.2.3**
 - (i) **A vote of the Management Committee will be taken in the third calendar quarter of 2015 on whether a new study should be conducted during late-2015 and 2016 to allow modification of the 72% / 28% cost allocation, if warranted by the results of the study, to be implemented by January 1, 2017. A positive vote by 58% of the Management Committee will be required to go forward with the study, but there will no longer be a “material change” standard as was historically applied to the determination of whether a study should be conducted.**
 - (ii) **If the Management Committee vote discussed in (i) above determines that a study should not be conducted, the 72% / 28% cost allocation between Withdrawal Billing Units and Injection Billing Units shall be extended through at least December 31, 2017. In the third calendar quarter of 2016, a vote will be taken on whether a new study should be conducted during late-2016 and 2017 to allow modification of the percentage allocation, if warranted by the results of the study, to be implemented by January 1, 2018. Unless a 58% vote of the Management Committee is registered in favor of declining to go forward with the study, the study will be conducted**

Tariff Requirements (cont.)

- Operative language from RS1 Section 6.1.2.3
 - (iii) If the Management Committee vote in the third calendar quarter of 2016 discussed in (ii) above determines that a study should not be conducted, the current 72% / 28% cost allocation shall remain unchanged until such point in time as the Management Committee determines that a study shall be conducted and the results of that study warrant changing the percentage allocation between Withdrawal Billing Units and Injection Billing Units. If the Management Committee vote in the third calendar quarter of 2016 discussed in (ii) above determines that a study should not be conducted, the Management Committee will revisit the issue of conducting a study annually in the third calendar quarter of each year using the same voting standard (i.e. the study shall be performed unless 58% of the Management Committee votes not to commission the study) that was applied to the Management Committee vote in the third calendar quarter of 2016 discussed in (ii) above.

Tariff Requirements (cont.)

- **Operative Language from RS1 Section 6.1.2.3**
 - (iv) If, and when, the Management Committee determines a study shall be conducted:
 - (a) Such study shall be completed, and the results thereof shared with market participants, before the end of the second calendar quarter of the year prior to the date on which a possible change to the then current allocation may become effective; and
 - (b) The ISO will present a draft study scope to market participants for consideration and comment before the ISO issues the study scope as part of its Request For Proposal process to retain a consultant to perform the study. A meeting shall be held with market participants to discuss the components (e.g., categories of costs considered, allocation of benefits, unbundling, etc.) that should be included in the draft study scope before the draft is issued by the ISO.